Amendments To Claims

- 1-27. Cancelled.
- 28. (Currently Amended) A method for predicting a set of parts for an onsite repair of a product, comprising:

determining a cost of mis-predicting each of a set of parts that may be replaced during the an onsite repair of a product in response to a repair history;

selecting the a subset of the parts to be sent to the onsite repair in response to the costs.

- 29. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 28, wherein determining a cost includes determining a cost associated with unnecessarily sending the corresponding part to the onsite repair.
- 30. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 28, wherein determining a cost includes determining a cost associated with not sending the corresponding part when needed to the onsite repair.
- 31. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 28, further comprising identifying a set of symptoms associated with the product.
- 32. (Currently Amended) The method of claim $\frac{29}{31}$, wherein determining a cost comprises determining the cost in response to the symptoms.
- 33. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 28,

wherein determining a cost includes:

determining a number of times that each part was under-predicted;

determining a number of times that each part was over-predicted;

determining a number of times that each part was correctly predicted.

- 34. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 33, wherein determining a cost includes combining the numbers of times with a cost associated with under-predicting the parts and a cost associated with over-predicting the parts.
- 35. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 34, further comprising determining the costs associated with under-predicting and over-predicting the parts.
- 36. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 35, wherein determining the costs includes determining an average of the costs associated with under-predicting and over-predicting the parts.
- 37. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 28, wherein selecting the a subset of the parts includes selecting the a subset of the parts for transport to the onsite repair.
- 38. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 28, wherein selecting the a subset of the parts includes selecting the a subset of the parts for training of call

qualifiers.

- 39. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 28, wherein selecting the a subset of the parts includes selecting the a subset of the parts for flagging to call qualifiers.
- 40. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 28, wherein selecting the a subset of the parts includes selecting the a subset of the parts for stocking a repair vehicle.
- 41. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 28, further comprising determining which products are least desirable to support in response to the costs.
- 42. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 28, further comprising determining which personnel to target for additional training in response to the costs.
- (Currently Amended) An apparatus A system having a computing device that determines a cost of mis-predicting each of a set of parts that may be replaced during an onsite repair of a product in response to a repair history and that selects a subset of the parts to be sent to the onsite repair in response to the costs. for predicting a set of parts for an onsite repair of a product, comprising:

repair history that includes information pertaining to a set of prior onsite repairs;

cost data that includes a set of costs associated with mis-predicting each of a set of parts that may be

replaced during the onsite repair;

metric calculator that determines a waste metric for each part in response to the repair history and the cost data such that the waste metrics enable a selection of the parts for the onsite repair.

- 44. (Currently Amended) The system apparatus of claim 43, wherein the metric calculator computing device determines the waste metrics costs by determining a number of times that each part was under-predicted and a number of times that each part was over-predicted and determining a number of times that each part was correctly predicted.
- 45. (Currently Amended) The system apparatus of claim 43, wherein the metric calculator computing device determines the waste metrics costs in response to a set of symptoms associated with the onsite repair.
- 46. (Currently Amended) The system apparatus of claim 43, wherein the repair history includes an identification of a set of parts sent to the a set of prior onsite repairs and a list of actual parts needed in the prior onsite repairs.
- 47. Cancelled.
- 48. (Currently Amended) The system apparatus of claim
 43, wherein the metric calculator computing device
 determines a waste metric for a plurality of sets of
 parts such that the waste metrics enable a selection of

and selects the sets of parts for the onsite repair $\underline{\text{in}}$ response to the waste metric.

- 49. (Currently Amended) The system apparatus of claim
 43, wherein the selection is a selection of the parts are
 selected for transport to the onsite repair.
- 50. (Currently Amended) The system apparatus of claim 43, wherein the selection is a selection of the parts are selected for training of call qualifiers.
- 51. (Currently Amended) The system apparatus of claim 43, wherein the selection is a selection of the parts are selected for flagging to call qualifiers.
- 52. (Currently Amended) The system apparatus of claim 43, wherein the selection is a selection of the parts are selected for stocking a repair vehicle.
- 53. (Currently Amended) The system apparatus of claim 43, wherein the computing device determines which products are least desirable to support in response to the costs the waste metrics enable a determination of which products are least desirable to support.
- 54. (Currently Amended) The system apparatus of claim 43, wherein the computing device determines which personnel to target for additional training in response to the costs the waste metrics enable a determination of which personnel to target for additional training.